Attachment to Independent Case Review Report for CDRU # 967 Case File # 95-295395.

Material Examiner Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

After research it has been determined that the dictation of Examiner Malone (RO) is missing/incomplete from the case file at the time of review by the Independent Scientist.

Independent Review	conducted by:	Steve Robert	tson			
Area(s) of Expertise:	Hair and Fibe	——— —	_ .	•	_ 	
Review commenced a	it: 7:45 AM	(Time),	09/15/	99 (Da	te)	
File #: 95-295395						_
Laboratory #(s): 01	1011032		_			
			-			
			-			
		Examiner	(s) & Symb	ols		
	Reviewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewe
RQ	x	0	PR		Ö	x
WP	ta -	x				0
NC	6	x			5	а
Trial testimony transcri	ipt(s) of:	Materia Michael Malone	ls Reviewe	d		
Testimony Da	ite(s): Unkno	wn	Pages:	23		
	ite(s): Unkno	wn	Pages:	23		
			Pages:	23 Date:	July 10, 1991	
aboratory Report(s):	mber: 01011		Pages:		July 10, 1991	
aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nur	mber: 01011		Pages:	Date:	July 10, 1991	
aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nur Laboratory Nur Laboratory Nur	mber: 01011 mber:			Date: Date: Date:	July 10, 1991	
aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nur Laboratory Nur	mber: 01011 mber: mber: cof: RQ an	1032 nd some unknov		Date: Date: Date:	July 10, 1991	
aboratory Report(s): Laboratory Nur Laboratory Nur Laboratory Nur Examiner Bench Notes	mber: 01011 mber: mber: cof: RQ an	1032 nd some unknov		Date: Date: Date:	July 10, 1991	

F-

If yes, please identify and/or describ	be the material: Submittin	g agency let	ter dated Oc	et 5, 1990
	Results of Rev	iew		<u> </u>
File #: 95-295395	Item or Specimen # Ro	eviewed: _	Q1, Q5-Q33	3, Q36, <u>Q</u> 39, Q40,
Q42-Q46, K1-K3				
Review	of Laboratory Report(s)	and Bench	h Notes:	
	imbered comments are requ s for any "No" or "Unable t			es
-	ne appropriate tests in a scient ytic techniques available at t	-	-	examination(s)?
?) Are the examination results of the bench notes?	set forth in the laboratory repo	ort(s) suppor	able to Deter ted and adequable to Deter	quately documented in
·	set forth in the laboratory repo	ort(s) suppor No 🗆 Una	ted and adeq	quately documented in
the bench notes? Note: No	set forth in the laboratory repo	ort(s) suppor No Un: Ony:	ted and adequable to Deter	quately documented in mine
Note: No additional page:	Review of Testimo	ort(s) suppor No Un: Ony:	ted and adequable to Deter	quately documented in mine
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available.	Review of Testimo	ort(s) suppor No Un: Ony:	ted and adequable to Deter	quately documented in mine
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the labels.	Review of Testimo	on(s) suppor No Una Ony: nired below to Determin	or on e" Response	quately documented in mine
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the labe	Review of Testimo	on(s) suppor No Una Ony: nired below to Determin	or on e" Response	quately documented in mine
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the label. Testimony consistent with the ben	Review of Testimo	on(s) suppor No Una Ony: nired below to Determin	or on e" Response	uately documented in mine The state of the
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the label. Testimony consistent with the ben	Review of Testimo	on(s) suppor No Una Ony: nired below to Determin	or on e" Response	uately documented in mine The state of the
Note: No additional page: Transcript not available. Testimony consistent with the label. Testimony consistent with the ben	Review of Testimo	ony: ony: ired below o Determin	or on e" Response	uately documented in mine The state of the

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:	95-295395					
#1. There	is insufficient o	locumentation t	o determine if	the hair comparisons v	vere performed	in a scientifically
acceptable	e manner.					
		-				
#2. The n	otes do not adeq	uately docume	at the work per	formed. All notes are	in pencil, the da	te of the
examinati	ions is not marke	ed, there are son	ne crasures an	d abbreviations are use	d that are diffic	ult to
interpret.	None of the hair	r examined are	fully character	ized as to their microso	opic characteris	stics. The
examiner	apparently com	pared the Q hair	to the K hair	without fully character	izing the indivi	dual
microscop	pic characteristic	es of any hair.				
A Text	tile Fiber Compa	arison workshee	t has the data t	from the examination of	of the rope fiber	s. This worksheet is
not dated	or initialled.					
		·				
Review co	ompleted at:	9:30 AM	(Time),	9 / 15 / 99	(Date)	
Total time hour):	spent conductir	ig review (to ne	arest 1/4	1 3/4 hours	+5	
				dent, unbiased manner	and that the res	sults of my review
are fully do	cumented on this	s report consisti	ng of a total of	f 4 pages.		
			A	+ PI+		0, 1500
		. —		(Simonus)		<u> 773-59</u>
			Ü	(Signature)		(Date)

Page 3 of 4
Initials: Shuc

Additional Comments

		(Set forth by question #, if applicable)
File#:	95-295395	
The er	kaminer chose to perfor	m solubility testing on the rope fibers. Infrared analysis, available in the FBI Lab
		is the preferred method of identifying polymer composition of synthetic fibers.
	•	_
	-	
		
•		
		
		<u> </u>
		<u> </u>
		Page 4 of 4 Initials: SM
		Initials: